

# Sex Differences in Emotional Awareness:

## More than Just Words

# Kimberly A. Barchard, April Contreras, & Caleb J. Picker University of Nevada, Las Vegas

## **ABSTRACT**

Emotional awareness is the ability to recognize and describe emotions in oneself and others. However, emotional awareness is a broad construct that includes at least four components: specificity, complexity, granularity, and perspective taking. Previous research showed that women have greater emotional awareness than men. However, women also have greater verbal ability and verbosity. Therefore, we compared the emotional awareness of men and women, while controlling for vocabulary and verbosity. Among 341 undergraduates, women scored significantly higher on three measures of overall emotional awareness (d = .49 - .54) and all four components (d = .31 - .54). Controlling for verbal ability and verbosity, sex differences remained for all variables except perspective taking. Perhaps perspective taking is not a fundamental emotion skill. Alternatively, verbosity may be more related to emotion skill than vocabulary. Future research should determine if perspective taking and verbosity are more closely associated with emotion skills or personality variables

#### INTRODUCTION

The ability to recognize and describe emotional experiences in oneself and others is called emotional Sophia: If Josh left me, I'd be devastated. I'd feel anguish awareness (Lane & Schwartz, 1987). Emotional about what we had lost and scared about the future. awareness includes at least four components.

Emotional specificity is the tendency to use specific emotion words rather than vague ones.

Emotional complexity is the tendency to use multibed all weekend ple distinct words to describe a single emotional re-

Emotional granularity is the ability to distinguish between emotions (e.g., Barrett, 1998, 2004, 2009, 2013; Barrett & Bliss-Moreau, 2009; Kashdan, Barrett, & McKnight, 2015).

Perspective taking (Batson, Early, & Salvarani, 1997; Decety & Jackson, 2004) is the ability to recognize that other people feel differently from the self.

On average, girls get higher scores than boys all weekend. (Ciarrochi, Hynes, & Crittenden, 2005), and women Schwartz, 2000; Ciarrochi, Caputi, & Mayer, 2003). However, little is known about whether women and men differ on the components of emotional awareness. Moreover, women and men differ in ways that might be confounded with differences in overall emotional Josh: If I lost my job, Sophia would feel bad, too. She'd probaawareness. In particular, women often have stronger bly get upset. verbal skills. Therefore, when comparing the emotional awareness of women and men, we controlled for vocabulary and the number of words in their responses.

Adapted from Barchard, Picker, and Leaf (2016).

Josh: If Sophia left me, I'd feel pretty bad. I'd probably stay in

**Sophia:** If I lost my job, I'd be shocked at first. What did I do wrong? After a while, I'd feel angry. Later, if I had trouble getting a new job, I'd become dispirited. I'd lose confidence in myself.

Josh: If I lost my job, I'd feel terrible. I'd probably stay in bed

get higher scores than men (Barrett, Lane, Sechrest, & Sophia: If I lost my job, Josh would be very supportive. He'd feel a lot of empathy for me, but then he'd calm me down. He'd encourage me to pursue my dreams. He's always so optimistic.

### **METHOD**

A total of 341 undergraduates (198 female) participated in this study in return for course credit. Participants ranged in age from 18 to 50 years. They completed the study on the internet, in two sessions, each approximately 90-minutes.

#### Measures

**Participants** 

Levels of Emotional Awareness Scale. The Levels of Emotional Awareness Scale (LEAS; Lane, Quinlan, Walker, Schwartz, & Zeitlin, 1990) contains 20 emotionally evocative scenarios that involve the self and another person. For each scenario, participants answer two questions: "How would you feel in this situation?" and "How would the other person feel?" Responses to each question are scored based upon the number and type of words that respondents used to describe emotions.

Calculating Overall Emotional Awareness. Overall emotional awareness was calculated using hand scores (see Figure 1), POES Highest-4, and POES Highest40-Unique.

Calculating Component Scores. Scores for emotional specificity, emotional complexity, emotional granularity, and perspective taking were also calculated using POES

version 2.0.1 (Leaf & Barchard, 2013) and LEAS Wordlist 2.5 (Barchard, 2013).

Emotional Specificity. The emotional specificity score for each item was calculated as the maximum word score for all emotion words and phrases in the self-response. If a response contained no emotion words and phrases, then the response received a score of 0. These item scores were summed to obtain an overall score for emotional specificity.

Emotional Complexity. For each item, emotional complexity was calculated as the number of unique emotion words for the self-response. These item scores were summed to obtain an overall score for emotional complexity.

Emotional Granularity. To calculate emotional granularity, we only used emotion words in the self-response. However, unlike the previous two scores, we calculated a single overall score for emotional granularity for the entire test. Specifically, we counted the number of unique emotion words across the entire set of 20 items.

Perspective Taking. For each item on the LEAS, we calculated perspective taking as the number of unique emotion words that occur in the other response that are not present in the self response. These item scores were summed to obtain an overall score for perspective taking.

Vocabulary. The Synonym Test (Barchard, 2004) measures vocabulary. It contains 60 multiple-choice items, arranged in increasing difficulty in each of two sections. Each item stem and response option is a single word.

Verbosity. Verbosity (response length) was calculated as the total number of words across all LEAS items.

#### RESULTS

To determine if the sex differences (see Table 1) were due to differences in emotional processing or some other variable (e.g., vocabulary or response length), we used hierarchical multiple regression to predict each of the seven emotional awareness variables (see Table 2). In step 1, we entered vocabulary and response length as control variables. The fifth column of Table 2 shows that the beta weights for vocabulary were statistically significant for Highest-4, Highest40-Unique, emotional complexity, emotional granularity, and perspective taking, but not for hand scoring or emotional specificity. The sixth column shows that the beta-weights for response length were statistically significant for all emotional awareness variables. In step 2, we entered sex to determine if sex differences remained after controlling for vocabulary and response length. The last column of Table 2 shows that, with only one exception—perspective taking—the beta weights for sex were statistically significant. Thus, for most aspects of emotional awareness, sex differences are not entirely due to vocabulary and response length.

#### **DISCUSSION**

The primary purpose of this study was to determine if these sex differences are due to differences in emotional processing or some other general difference variable such as verbal ability. Sex differences in perspective taking were fully explained by vocabulary and response length. This could indicate that response length says something important about emotional awareness: After all, when someone asks how you feel, providing a lengthy answer may in itself indicate emotional awareness.

Sex differences in overall emotional awareness and its components were largest for emotional complexity and emotional granularity. Perhaps emotional complexity and emotional granularity can explain sex differences on the other components of emotional awareness. Future research should examine this possibility.

## Figure 1

Word Scores Using the LEAS

Physical sensations

I feel <u>cold</u> (1).

Non-specific emotional responses

I feel <u>upset</u> (2).

Words used in emotional and non-emotional ways

I feel <u>bad</u> (2).

Words that describe behaviors accompanying emotions

I want to  $\underline{\text{cry}}$  (2).

Words that describe personality characteristics

I feel optimistic (2).

Words that describe specific emotions.

I feel devastated (3).

Dependent	Women		Men				
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean difference	Independent samples	Effect
Variable					[95% confidence interval]	t-test	size
Overall Emotional A	wareness						
Hand scoring	65.07	11.40	59.29	12.24	5.78 [3.25, 8.32]	t(339) = 4.48, p < .001	.49
Highest-4	164.62	35.45	145.13	36.48	19.49 [11.74, 27.24]	t(339) = 4.95, p < .001	.54
Highest40-Unique	93.74	18.00	83.14	21.79	10.60 [6.35, 14.84]	t(339) = 4.91, p < .001	.53
Components of Emor	tional Awa	reness					
Specificity	53.14	4.98	50.50	6.54	2.64 [1.41, 3.87]	t(339) = 4.23, p < .001	.45
Complexity	40.71	13.93	33.57	13.85	7.14 [4.14, 10.14]	t(339) = 4.68, p < .001	.51
Granularity	29.58	9.28	24.52	9.47	5.06 [3.04, 7.08]	t(339) = 4.92, p < .001	.54
Perspective taking	27.12	9.53	24.14	9.76	2.98 [0.90, 5.05]	t(339) = 2.82, p = .005	.31
Length	664.60	361.69	550.10	373.53	114.58 [35.37, 193.78]	t(339) = 2.85, p = .005	.31
Vocabulary	0.49	0.12	0.50	0.12	-0.01 [-0.04, 0.01]	t(339) = -1.04, p = .300	11

#### Table 2 Hierarchical Multiple Regression Analyses Showing Effect of Sex on Emotional Awareness, Controlling for Vocabulary and Response Length $\Delta Adj-R^2$ $\beta_{vocab}$ $\Delta R^2$ Variable Step: Predictors $\beta_{length}$ **Overall Emotional Awareness** 1: vocab, length Hand scoring .17\*\* 2: vocab, length, sex Highest-4 1: vocab, length .15\*\* 2: vocab, length, sex Highest40-Unique 1: vocab, length 2: vocab, length, sex .03\*\* .18\*\* .17\*\* Components of Emotional Awareness Specificity 1: vocab, length 2: vocab, length, sex .17\*\* 1: vocab, length Complexity .13\*\* 2: vocab, length, sex Granularity 1: vocab, length .15\*\* 2: vocab, length, sex 1: vocab, length Perspective taking 2: vocab, length, sex .11\*\* .04 p < .05. \*\* p < .001.